Yale University Institutional Review Boards

IRB Policy 310  Participation of Children in Research

Scope
This policy defines the standards for the participation of children in research studies conducted at or by Yale University. Children participating in research constitute a special class of subjects for which special protections apply.

Policy Statement
All children considered for enrollment in, or enrolled as subjects in research must be treated in a manner commensurate with their special status as minors. Such research must be designed to ensure the appropriate enrollment of children and employ additional safeguards as described in this policy to ensure and protect their rights and welfare.

Reason for the Policy
The University has an ethical responsibility to protect the rights and welfare of human research subjects and ensure that research does not endanger their safety or undermine the public confidence in the conduct of research. The special vulnerability of children makes review of their involvement particularly important and charges the researcher and the Institutional Review Board (IRB) with ensuring that such research is not contrary to the rights and welfare of the child subjects.¹

Special ethical and regulatory standards have been defined for reviewing research involving children. The participation of children in research is permissible only if it incorporates additional protections which reflect the anticipated risks to the child subjects in relation to the expected benefits to the child. As the study risks increase, there must also be an increased likelihood of benefit to the child subject or importance of the knowledge to be gained about the child’s disorder or condition. The standards for research involving children have been codified into federal regulations found at Subpart D of 45 CFR 46 (Department of Health and Human Services regulations). It is important to note that clinical trials that involve children as research subjects are also subject to specific regulations defined by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration found at Subpart D of 21 CFR 50 (U.S. Food and Drug Administration regulations).

Definitions
Adolescent Assent Form
An Assent Form generally written for children 13-17 years of age in a format appropriate for their cognition and understanding. An Adolescent Assent Form differs from the Assent Form noted below in

¹ DHHS, Institutional Review Board Guidebook, Chapter VI, Special Classes of Subjects, Children and Minors
that it may use more sophisticated language and format than one written for younger children, often following the format provided for adult consent.

**Assent**
A child’s affirmative agreement to participate in research. Mere failure to object should not, absent affirmative agreement, be construed as assent. 45 CFR §46.402(b); 21 CFR §50.3(n). Assent must be sought in addition to the consent of a legally authorized representative or surrogate when the individual is sufficiently cognitively capable of understanding the nature of his or her participation in a research study.

**Assent Form**
A document written in language that is appropriate to the child subject’s maturity and cognitive level and used as part of the assent process to 1) describe the research study, including the research procedures, risks and benefits, and 2) obtain the child’s written agreement to participate in the study. (See [http://www.yale.edu/hrpp/forms-templates/biomedical.html](http://www.yale.edu/hrpp/forms-templates/biomedical.html))

**Child/Children**
Any individual who at the time of enrollment in a research study has not attained the legal age for consent to treatments or procedures involved in the research under the applicable law of the jurisdiction in which the research will be conducted. 45 CFR §46.402(a); 21 CFR §50.3(o). In the State of Connecticut, the age of majority is 18. Investigators working in locations outside Connecticut should confirm the local age of majority to determine at what age an individual is considered to be an adult.

**Emancipated Minor**
A minor who is to be treated as an adult for purposes of this policy. An emancipation order allows a minor to consent to “medical, dental or psychiatric care, without parental consent, knowledge or liability.” In Connecticut, minors age sixteen or seventeen or their parents may petition the Superior Court for Juvenile Matters or the Probate Court for emancipation orders. Conn. Gen. Stat. §46b-150. The court may declare the minor emancipated if (1) the minor has entered into a valid marriage, whether or not that marriage has been terminated by dissolution, (2) the minor is on active duty with any of the armed forces of the United States of America, (3) the minor willingly lives separate and apart from his/her parents or guardian, with or without the consent of the parents or guardian, and that the minor is managing his/her own financial affairs, regardless of the source of any lawful income, or (4) the court determines “for good cause” that emancipation is in the "best interest" of the minor, any child of the minor, or the parents or guardian of the minor. Conn. Gen. Stat. §46b-150b. A minor may also be considered emancipated under common law under similar circumstances.

**Guardian**
An individual who is authorized under applicable State or local law to consent on behalf of a child to general medical care. 45 CFR §46.402(e); 21 CFR §50.3(s). In Connecticut, a guardian is judicially-appointed parental rights for a child including (A) the obligation of care and control; and (B) the authority to make major decisions affecting the child’s education and welfare, including, but not limited to, consent determinations regarding marriage, enlistment in the armed forces and major medical, psychiatric or surgical treatment.

**Minimal Risk**
The probability and magnitude of harm or discomfort anticipated in the research are not greater in and of themselves than those ordinarily encountered in daily life or during the performance of routine physical or psychological examinations or tests. 45 CFR §46.102(i); 21 CFR §50.3(k).

**Parent**
A child’s biological or adoptive parent. 45 CFR §46.402(d); 21 CFR §50.3(p).

**Parental Permission Form**
A document used to obtain the written permission of a child subject’s parent or guardian allowing that child to participate in research. The parental permission form must be written in a language
understandable by the parent/guardian and must contain all elements of informed consent, including a description of the research study, the research procedures, risks and benefits.

Permission
The agreement of parent(s) or guardian(s) to the participation of their child or ward in research. 45 CFR §46.402(c); 21 CFR §50.3(r).

Ward
A child who is placed in the legal custody of the State or other agency institution, or entity, consistent with applicable Federal, State, or local law. 21 CFR §50.3(q). For example, children under the protection of a court, child protective services, or under the care of a non-parental relative would be considered to be wards.

Policy Sections

310.1 Research Risk Categories
The IRB will only approve research involving children as subjects if the research satisfies the federal requirements for the participation of children, the research can be characterized into one of the research categories defined below and the IRB finds sufficient safeguards in place for protecting the rights and welfare of the child subjects. In addition, all clinical trials involving children must satisfy the FDA requirements found at Subpart D of 21 CFR 50.

A. Research not involving greater than minimal risk to the children (45 CFR §46.404, 20 CFR §50.51)
To approve this category of research, the IRB must make the following determinations:

- the research presents no greater than minimal risk to the children; and
- adequate provisions are made for soliciting the assent of the children and the permission of each child’s parents or guardians, or where appropriate based on the nature of the study, the IRB may find that permission from one parent is sufficient.

B. Research involving greater than minimal risk but presenting the prospect of direct benefit to the individual child subjects involved in the research (45 CFR §46.405, 20 CFR §50.52).
To approve research in this category, the IRB must make the following determinations:

- the risk is justified by the anticipated benefits to the subjects;
- the relation of the anticipated benefit to the risk presented by the study is at least as favorable to the subjects as that provided by available alternative approaches; and
- adequate provisions are made for soliciting the assent of the children and the permission of their parents or guardians, or where appropriate based on the nature of the study, the IRB may find that permission from one parent is sufficient.

C. Research involving greater than minimal risk and no prospect of direct benefit to the individual child subjects involved in the research, but likely to yield generalizable knowledge about the subject’s disorder or condition (45 CFR §46.406, 20 CFR §50.53).
In order to approve research in this category, the IRB must make the following determinations:

- the risk of the research represents a minor increase over minimal risk;
- the intervention or procedure presents experiences to the child subjects that are reasonably commensurate with those inherent in their actual, or expected medical, dental, psychological, social, or educational situations;
- the intervention or procedure is likely to yield generalizable knowledge about the subject's disorder or condition which is of vital importance for the understanding or amelioration of the disorder or condition; and
• adequate provisions are made for soliciting the assent of the children and the permission of both parents or guardians, unless one parent is deceased, unknown, incompetent, or not reasonably available or when one parent has legal responsibility for the care and custody of the child subject.

D. Research that the IRB believes does not meet the conditions of 45 CFR §46.404, §46.405, or §46.406, but finds that the research presents a reasonable opportunity to further the understanding, prevention, or alleviation of a serious problem affecting the health or welfare of children (45 CFR §46.407, 20 CFR §50.54).

Research that falls into this category cannot be approved under any of the other three research categories. However, if the IRB finds that the research presents a reasonable opportunity to further the understanding, prevention or alleviation of a serious problem affecting the health or welfare of children, it may refer the protocol to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS), and the Commissioner of Food and Drugs (FDA), where applicable, for review. The research may proceed only if DHHS, and the FDA, if applicable, determines either that (a) the research does, in fact, fall into one of the permissible research categories outlined above or (b) the following conditions are met:

• the research presents a reasonable opportunity to further the understanding, prevention, or alleviation of a serious problem affecting the health or welfare of children;

• the research will be conducted in accordance with sound ethical principles; and

• adequate provisions are made for soliciting the assent of the children and the permission of both parents or guardians, unless one parent is deceased, unknown, incompetent, or not reasonably available or when one parent has legal responsibility for the care and custody of the child subject.

310.2 Consent and Assent Requirements

A. Requirements for Permission from Parents or Guardians

Permission from one or both parents or guardians is required for children to participate in research unless one of the exceptions described in section 310.3 below applies 45 CFR §46.408(b); 21 CFR §50.55(e). The IRB will determine the number of parents or guardians that must provide permission for a child to participate in research based upon an assessment of the risks and benefits as described in section 310.1 above. Parental or guardian permission must meet the requirements for informed consent and documentation of consent found in the IRB Policy 200 on Informed Consent.

B. Requirements for Assent from the Child Subject

Adequate provisions to obtain assent from the child must be sought in addition to parental permission (45 CFR §46.408(a); 21 CFR §50.55(a)) unless the IRB determines and documents that (1) the children are not capable of assenting, (2) the capability of the children is so limited that they cannot reasonably be consulted, (3) the research holds out a prospect of direct benefit that is important to the health or well-being of the child which is only available in the context of the research, and/or (4) the requirements of section 310.3 below are met for waiver of child assent. The assent procedures must be approved by the IRB, which may include obtaining oral assent from the child or written assent through the use of an assent form signed by the child. In determining whether children are capable of assenting, the IRB shall take into account the age, maturity, and psychological state of the children involved. This judgment may be made for all children to be involved in research under a particular protocol, or for each child, as the IRB deems appropriate. If the IRB determines that the capability of some or all of the children is so limited that they cannot reasonably be consulted or that the intervention or procedure involved in the research holds a prospect of direct benefit that is important to the health or well-being of the children and is available only in the context of the research, the assent of the children is not a necessary condition for proceeding with the research. When the IRB determines that assent is
not a requirement of some children, the IRB shall document which children are not required to provide assent.

When assent is required, assent must be documented either through the child signing an assent form or through documentation in the study record of verbal agreement in cases of verbal assent. Assent forms may be used with children of appropriate maturity and cognition and must be tailored to the subject’s age, maturity level and psychological state.

Verbal assent may be approved when the research involves young children, children with poor literacy, or children undergoing treatment for an illness which may impact their ability to provide written assent. If appropriate for the child’s cognition level, an information sheet can be used to inform subjects about the research, or to solicit a verbal agreement to participate. If no form or information sheet is to be used, a script of the information to be presented verbally must be approved by the IRB in accordance with the IRB Policy on Informed Consent.

Assent forms and parental permission forms must be consistent in guaranteed elements of privacy and confidentiality. Any limits to the child’s privacy, or the confidentiality of his or her data, must be clearly stated in both forms.

C. Consent Requirements for Studies in Which Children Reach the Age of Majority During the Study

Once a child subject reaches the age of majority, he or she no longer qualifies as a child, and parental permission is no longer valid. Child subjects enrolled in a study who reach the age of majority while the study is on-going should provide consent to continue participation in the research in accordance with the IRB Policy on Informed Consent.

D. Emancipated Minors

A participant who has been granted status as an emancipated minor is considered to be able to consent on his/her own behalf and is treated as an adult for the purposes of this policy.

310.3 Waiver of Permission and/or Assent

A. Waiver of Permission of Parent or Guardian

Under certain limited conditions, the IRB may grant a waiver of parental/guardian permission in advance of a child subject’s enrollment 45 CFR §46.408(c). A waiver of parental/guardian permission is acceptable only if:

1. The research involves a treatment for which a child’s consent is permissible under law (i.e., outpatient mental health care (Conn. Gen. Stat. §19(a)-14c(b)), treatment for venereal disease (Conn. Gen. Stat. §19a-216.), or treatment for alcohol or drug dependence (Conn. Gen. Stat. §17a-688(d))); or

2. The subject is legally emancipated through the Superior Court or the Probate Court, after hearing, finding that: (1) The minor has entered into a valid marriage, whether or not that marriage has been terminated by dissolution; or (2) the minor is on active duty with any of the armed forces of the United States of America; or (3) the minor willingly lives separate and apart from his parents or guardian, with or without the consent of the parents or guardian, and that the minor is managing his/her own financial affairs, regardless of the source of any lawful income; or (4) for good cause shown, where it is in the best interest of the minor, any child of the minor, or the parents or guardian of the minor, the court may enter an order declaring that the minor is emancipated; (Conn. Gen. Stat. §46b-150b.) or

3. Parental/guardian permission is not a reasonable requirement because it poses additional risk or may be at odds with the interests of the child subject (e.g., research concerning neglected or abused minors, reproductive health issues). 45 CFR §46.408(c).
In such cases, the research protocol must propose an alternative to parental/guardian permission appropriate to the nature and purpose of the research, the risk and anticipated benefit to the child subjects, as well as the child subjects’ ages, maturities, statuses, and conditions; or

4. The study meets the requirements for waiver of consent as described in the IRB Policy 200 on Informed Consent.

5. The research is not FDA regulated.

B. Waiver of Assent

The IRB may waive or modify the assent requirements when one of the following conditions is met:

1. The IRB finds that
   i. the project could not practicably be carried out without the waiver or alteration and
   ii. the project is subject to the approval of state or local government officials and is designed to study, evaluate, or otherwise examine: (i) public benefit or service programs; (ii) procedures for obtaining benefits or services under these programs; (iii) possible changes in or alternatives to those programs or procedures; or (iv) possible changes in methods or levels of payment for benefits or services under those programs; 45 CFR §46.116(c);
   OR

2. The IRB finds that:
   i. The research involves not more than minimal risks to the children;
   ii. The waiver or alteration does not adversely affect the rights and welfare of the children;
   iii. The research could not practicably be carried out without the waiver or alteration and
   iv. Whenever appropriate, the children will be provided with additional pertinent information after participation. 45 CFR §46.116(d); 21 CFR §50.55(d).

310.4 Inclusion of Wards of the State or Any Other Agency, Institution, or Entity

Children who are wards of the state or any other agency can be included in research that is determined by the IRB to be minimal risk. They may also participate in research considered greater than minimal risk when the research has the potential to provide direct benefit to the ward. However, wards may not participate in research that is considered greater than minimal risk when there is no prospect of direct benefit to them unless the IRB determines that such research is:

1. Related to their status as wards; or

2. Conducted in schools, camps, hospitals, institutions, or similar settings in which the majority of children involved as subjects are not wards. 45 CFR §45.409(a); 21 CFR §50.56(a).

In studies which are deemed by the IRB to be greater than minimal risk with no prospect of direct benefit to the ward, an advocate must be appointed for each child who is a ward, in addition to any other individual acting on behalf of the child as guardian or in loco parentis. One individual
may serve as advocate for more than one child. The advocate shall be an individual who has the background and experience to act in, and agrees to act in, the best interests of the child for the duration of the child’s participation in the research and who is not associated in any way (except in the role as advocate or member of the IRB) with the research, the investigator(s), or be the child’s guardian or foster parent. 45 CFR §45.409(b); 21 CFR §50.56(b).

Assent and permission from the child’s legal guardian is required as described in section 310.2 above.


For those studies that do not intentionally plan or expect to enroll wards of the state, but during the course of research a research participant becomes a ward of the state, the PI must notify the IRB as soon as he/she becomes aware of the research participant’s change of status, and must seek approval from the Connecticut DCF IRB.

310.5 Other Institutional Approvals
Protocols involving children also may require review and approval by other University committees such as the Pediatric Protocol Review Committee (PPRC) or the Yale Cancer Center Protocol Review Committee (PRC) prior to approval by the IRB. Investigators should consult these offices for information on their submission requirements.

310.6 IRB Record Keeping Requirements
When reviewing a protocol including children, the IRB must classify the research into one of four categories (see section 310.1 above). The minutes and/or reviewer checklist must document the category under which the protocol is approved, together with protocol specific findings that justify application of the category under which the study is approved as well as determination as to whether one or both parents/guardians must provide permission. The minutes and/or checklist will also document findings related to waiver of consent and/or inclusion of wards.

Related Information

310 PR. 1 Informed Consent in Research Involving Children
310 PR. 2 Submission of Protocols for Research Involving Children
310 GD.1 Research Conducted in Schools
310 FR. 1 Human Investigation Committee Assent Template
310 CH. 1 Children’s Findings Worksheet
Contacts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Contact</th>
<th>Phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human Subjects Research involving Children</td>
<td>Human Investigation Committees or Human Subjects Committee</td>
<td>203.785.4688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:ysmhic@yale.edu">ysmhic@yale.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:human.subjects@yale.edu">human.subjects@yale.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scientific Review of Pediatric Research</td>
<td>Pediatric Protocol Review Committee (PPRC)</td>
<td>203-764-9199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Roles and Responsibilities

**Human Investigation Committee** (HIC)
HIC I, HIC II, HIC III and HIC IV serve as the four Institutional Review Boards or IRBs for biomedical human research conducted at Yale University.

**Human Subjects Committee** (HSC)
The HSC serves as the Institutional Review Board for social, behavioral and educational human research social, behavioral and educational human research at Yale University.

**PPRC**
The Pediatric Protocol Review Committee (PPRC) reviews protocols involving minors. The Committee is sponsored by the Department of Pediatrics. The purpose of the PPRC is twofold: (1) to review the scientific validity of proposed studies in minors; and (2) to ensure that appropriate and systematic measures to minimize risk to participating subjects are incorporated into the protocols.

References

45 CFR §46.402
21 CFR Part 50
OHRP Research with Children Frequently Asked Questions: [http://answers.hhs.gov/ohrp/categories/1570](http://answers.hhs.gov/ohrp/categories/1570)

Revision History